Developmental Breeding for Jassid tolerance in *G. hirsutum*

Dr. T.R.Loknathan
Principal Scientist,
Division of Crop Improvement,
ICAR-CICR, Nagpur

Concept of Developmental Breeding

- Developmental breeding is synoymous with pre-breeding or genetic enhancement as defined by Charles Rick (1984)
- We selected resistant donors bearing the beneficial morphological features and incorporated into selective elite cultivars
- Donors used were Deltapine -66 very hairy, G-21-19-619 , sparsely hairy , REX- hairy with high hair density, CIHS-9-7- sparsely hairy

Earlier pioneering work done in Breeding for Jassid tolerance

- First mention of the occurrence of jassids was made by Lefroy(1906) and Roberts(1913)
- The first selection of cotton for resistance was made beginning 1913 against 'red leaf blight' thought be a disease but later on understood as injury caused by leaf hopper(Kottur, 1922)
- Pioneering work was initiated in 1925 by Parnell which became the basis for evolution of jassid resistant varieties in South Africa
- The first variety U-4 was developed which occupied a major area in South Africa at that time and lower populations of leaf hoppers were observed.
- Afzal et.al. 1943 reported that the tolerance involved is independent of preference
- Afzal and Ghani (1948) reported a correlation between leaf vein toughness and hairiness; between leaf vein toughness and leaf hopper populations

Recent evidences for the existence of jassid tolerance

- Ahmed and Haq(1981) reported in a study that B 557 was resistant to jassids (maximum hair length-1506.26 microns) and maximum hair density both on mid rib (310.25/cm) and leaf lamina (166.58/9 sq mm). It was inferred that the hair density and hair length was negatively correlated with jassid population which was in confirmity with other workers as well.
- Syed et.al.(2003) in a study of 20 varieties inferred that hair density and gossypol glands attributed to lower jassid population and relative resistance.
- Roy et.al.(2017) worked out the genetics of jassid resistance and inferred that 13: 3 (13 susceptible: 3 resistance type of plants), inhibitory of gene action dominat genes with epistasis type of gene action for resistance (a study in interspecific hybrid between G.barbadense and G.hirsutum

The mechanism of resistance

- Prof. Reginald H.Painter (1951) has given description of the basis of jassid tolerance.
- He attributed to three factors viz., Preference, Antibiosis and Tolerance.
- Preference (For oviposition, food or shelter)
- Antibiosis(Adverse effect of plant on the biology of insect)
- Tolerance (repair, recovery to withstand infestation)
- New addition-Antixenosis- repellent nature of the plants to insects (Peterson et.al.2017)

Morphological features which play role in jassid tolerance

- Leaf trichomes or hairs.
- Hair length
- Hair density
- Scoring of jassids (1-5 grade(1-highly tolerant, 2-Tolerant, 3-Moderately susceptible, 4,Susceptible, 5- highly susceptible)

Evaluation of selectively identified jassid tolerant cultures (2014-15)

| Sr.no. | Name of entry | Yield (kg/ha) | Boll wt(g) | Jassid injury score | Got(%) | Fibre length(mm) | Fibre strength(g/ tex) | MIC value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | SPS9-1 | 374 | 2.3 | 2 | 35 | 24.9 | 19.5 | 3.4 |
| 2 | SPS9-2 | 229 | 2.3 | 2 | 33.2 | 24.9 | 19.7 | 3.9 |
| 3 | SPS9-3 | 138 | 2.1 | 1 | 37.2 | 25 | 20.2 | 3.6 |
| 4 | SPS9-4 | 307 | 2.6 | 1 | 39 | 25.8 | 20.8 | 3 |
| 5 | SPS9-5 | 212 | 2.5 | 2 | 33.1 | 28.4 | 24.2 | 2.8 |
| 6 | SPS9-6 | 170 | 3 | 2 | 34.3 | 29.8 | 25.8 | 3.6 |
| 7 | SPS9-7 | 183 | 2.1 | 1 | 36 | 30 | 23.3 | 3.7 |
| 8 | SPS9-8 | 162 | 2.4 | 1 | 35 | 29.7 | 23 | 2.8 |
| 9 | SPS9-9 | 179 | 3.2 | 2 | 43 | 27 | 20.5 | 3.4 |
| 10 | SPS9-10 | 131 | 2.7 | 1 | 33 | 27.3 | 22 | 2.9 |
| 11 | SPS9-11 | 1076 | 3.1 | 1 | 42 | 26.9 | 21.4 | 3.7 |
| 12 | SPS9-12 | 481 | 3.1 | 1 | 44 | 27.2 | 20.7 | 3.2 |
| 13 | SPS9-13 | 83 | 1.8 | 1 | 32 | 26.5 | 19.9 | 3.5 |
| 14 | SPS9-14 | 551 | 3.8 | 2 | 39.1 | 27.5 | 21.7 | 3.5 |
| 15 | SPS9-15 | 327 | 3.4 | 1 | 41.2 | 26.2 | 22.3 | 3.8 |
| 16 | SPS9-16 | 183 | 2.5 | 2 | 39.2 | 25.8 | 21.1 | 3.9 |

Evaluation of selectively identified jassid tolerant cultures (2014-15) continued

| Sr.no. | Name of entry | Yield (kg/ha) | Boll wt(g) | Jassid injury score | Got(%) | Fibre length(mm) | Fibre strength (g/tex) | MIC value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 17 | SPS9-17 | 614 | 2.9 | 2 | 39 | 28 | 21 | 4.5 |
| 18 | SPS9-18 | 433 | 2.5 | 1 | 36.1 | 27.2 | 21 | 4.5 |
| 19 | SPS9-19 | 337 | 2.8 | 1 | 41.4 | 31 | 23.8 | 3.7 |
| 20 | SPS9-20 | 216 | 2.2 | 2 | 42 | 26.6 | 20.7 | 3.9 |
| 21 | SPS9-21 | 87 | 2.3 | 1 | 34 | 28 | 20.1 | 3.3 |
| 22 | SPS9-22 | 177 | 2.3 | 1 | 41 | 29.5 | 22 | 3.4 |
| 23 | SPS9-23 | 235 | 2.6 | 1 | 41.2 | 27.5 | 21.4 | 3.5 |
| 24 | SPS9-24 | 144 | 2.8 | 1 | 39.3 | 26.9 | 21.1 | 3.4 |
| 25 | SPS9-25 | 183 | 2.5 | 2 | 37 | 28.5 | 21.9 | 2.9 |
| 26 | SPS9-26 | 320 | 2.4 | 1 | 32.3 | 28.9 | 23.9 | 2.9 |
| 27 | SPS9-27 | 183 | 2.2 | 2 | 30.4 | 29.1 | 24.5 | 2.9 |
| 28 | SPS9-28 | 340 | 2.8 | 2 | 33 | 28.6 | 23.2 | 2.4 |
| 29 | SPS9-29 | 266 | 2.2 | 2 | 34.4 | 26.4 | 21.5 | 2.9 |
| 30 | SPS9-30 | 218 | 2.5 | 2 | 36 | 27.8 | 21.6 | 2.9 |
| 31 | SPS9-31 | 205 | 2.8 | 2 | 38.1 | 28 | 21.8 | 3 |
| 32 | SPS9-32 | 140 | 2.2 | 2 | 40 | 25.2 | 19.7 | 3.5 |

Evaluation of selectively identified jassid tolerant cultures (2014-15) continued

| Sr.no. | Name of entry | Yield (kg/ha) | Boll wt(g) | Jassid injury score | Got(%) | Fibre length(mm) | Fibre strength (g/tex) | MIC value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| 33 | SPS9-33 | 98.156 | 2.8 | 2 | 41 | 27.8 | 21.2 | 3.5 |
| 34 | SPS9-34 | 72.228 | 2.3 | 1 | 35 | 30.6 | 21.9 | 3.4 |
| 35 | SPS9-35 | 133.344 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 31.7 | 23.2 | 3.2 |
| 36 | SPS9-36 | 109.268 | 2.5 | 1 | 38 | 27.5 | 22.7 | 3.6 |
| 37 | SPS9-37 | 227.796 | 2.2 | 1 | 35.4 | 32.8 | 24.6 | 2.8 |
| 38 | SPS9-38 | 312.988 | 2.5 | 2 | 40 | 25.6 | 20.3 | 4.3 |
| 39 | SPS9-39 | 261.132 | 3 | 2 | 39 | 26.3 | 21.6 | 3.4 |
| 40 | SPS9-40 | 329.656 | 2.2 | 2 | 36.3 | 28.5 | 21.4 | 3.5 |
| 41 | SPS9-41 | 300.024 | 2.2 | 1 | 37 | 28.5 | 21.2 | 3.7 |
| 42 | SPS9-42 | 174.088 | 2.8 | 3 | 36 | 27.3 | 21.5 | 3.6 |
| 43 | SPS9-43 | 281.504 | 2.4 | 2 | 39 | 26 | 19.7 | 3.8 |
| 44 | SPS9-44 | 329.656 | 2.6 | 2 | 39 | 29.2 | 22.3 | 3.5 |
| 45 | SPS9-45 | | 2.3 | 2 | 38 | 27.9 | 21.3 | 3.7 |
| 46 | SPS9-46 | | 2.7 | 1 | 41 | 26.6 | 22.2 | 3 |
| 47 | SPS9-47 | 146.308 | 2.1 | 2 | 39 | 29.2 | 22.5 | 3.5 |
| 48 | Suraj (| | 3 | 3 | 43 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 3.3 |
| | check) | 787.1 | | | | | | |

Evaluation of selectively identified jassid tolerant cultures (2015-16)

| Sr.no. | Name of entry | Yield (kg/ha) | Boll wt(g) | Jassid injury score | Got(%) | Fibre length(mm) | Fibre strength (g/tex) | MIC value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | SPS9-1 | 153 | 2 | 2 | 27.44 | | | |
| 2 | SPS9-2 | 334 | 2.9 | 2 | 25.68 | 22.7 | 23 | 3.3 |
| 3 | SPS9-3 | 965 | 3 | 1 | 34.12 | 24.9 | 24.5 | 3.4 |
| 4 | SPS9-4 | 365 | 2.7 | 1 | 37.03 | 24.9 | 23.7 | 4.5 |
| 5 | SPS9-5 | 605 | 2.9 | 2 | 31.77 | 26.8 | 25.9 | 2.5 |
| 6 | SPS9-6 | 826 | 2.8 | 2 | 35.21 | 26.4 | 26.7 | 2.4 |
| 7 | SPS9-7 | 1109 | 2.3 | 1 | 35.97 | 26.8 | 26.3 | 2.6 |
| 8 | SPS9-8 | 744 | 2.3 | 1 | 36.82 | 26.3 | 24.7 | 2.7 |
| 9 | SPS9-9 | 922 | 1.9 | 2 | 35.26 | 25.2 | 23.3 | 3.5 |
| 10 | SPS9-10 | 713 | 2.1 | 1 | 35.57 | 23.7 | 23.8 | 2.9 |
| 11 | SPS9-11 | 1447 | 3.1 | 1 | 35.73 | 25.8 | 25.1 | 2.9 |
| 12 | SPS9-12 | 878 | 3 | 1 | 37.62 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 3.1 |
| 13 | SPS9-13 | 514 | 2.5 | 1 | 35.94 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 2.2 |
| 14 | SPS9-14 | 680 | 2.2 | 1 | 37.96 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 3.1 |
| 15 | SPS9-15 | 904 | 2.5 | 1 | 35.65 | 22.3 | 23.7 | 3.4 |
| 16 | SPS9-16 | 665 | 2.5 | 2 | 34.37 | 23.8 | 24.4 | 3.2 |

Evaluation of selectively identified jassid tolerant cultures (2015-16) continued

| Sr.no. | Name of entry | Yield (kg/ha) | Boll wt(g) | Jassid injury score | Got(%) | Fibre length(mm) | Fibre strength (g/tex) | MIC value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 17 | SPS9-17 | 1421 | 2.1 | 2 | 31.94 | 25.3 | 24.3 | 4.5 |
| 18 | SPS9-18 | 676 | 2.4 | 1 | 38.36 | 25 | 24.2 | 3.5 |
| 19 | SPS9-19 | 827 | 2.7 | 1 | 36.66 | 27.2 | 24.3 | 3.02 |
| 20 | SPS9-20 | 520 | 2.9 | 2 | 37.87 | 23.8 | 24.8 | 3.5 |
| 21 | SPS9-21 | 412 | 2.6 | 1 | 32.21 | 25.6 | 24.7 | 2.9 |
| 22 | SPS9-22 | 778 | 2.4 | 1 | 37.82 | 24.6 | 24 | 2.3 |
| 23 | SPS9-23 | 975 | 2.9 | 1 | 35.69 | 23.7 | 23.9 | 3.6 |
| 24 | SPS9-24 | 728 | 2.9 | 1 | 36.1 | 27.5 | 25.3 | 3.4 |
| 25 | SPS9-25 | 811 | 3.2 | 2 | 37 | 26 | 25.2 | 3.07 |
| 26 | SPS9-26 | 813 | 2.7 | 1 | 36.83 | 26.7 | 25.4 | 2.6 |
| 27 | SPS9-27 | 1136 | 2.9 | 2 | 36.88 | 25.4 | 24.6 | 3.2 |
| 28 | SPS9-28 | 1235 | 2.5 | 2 | 36.97 | 24.8 | 24.9 | 3.2 |
| 29 | SPS9-29 | 873 | 2.1 | 2 | 37.05 | 27 | 25.3 | 2.5 |
| 30 | SPS9-30 | 768 | 1.8 | 2 | 34.73 | 24.6 | 24.3 | 2.7 |
| 31 | SPS9-31 | 939 | 2.1 | 2 | 37.09 | 26.6 | 24.9 | 2.1 |
| 32 | SPS9-32 | 595 | 2.8 | 2 | 36.22 | 24.2 | 24.5 | 2.7 |

Evaluation of selectively identified jassid tolerant cultures (2015-16) continued

| Sr.no. | Name of entry | Yield (kg/ha) | Boll wt(g) | Jassid injury score | Got(%) | Fibre length(mm) | Fibre strength (g/tex) | MIC value |
|--------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| 33 | SPS9-33 | 971.75 | 2.4 | 2 | 35.55 | 25.7 | 25.1 | 3.04 |
| 34 | SPS9-34 | 670.8 | 3.2 | 1 | 35.18 | 26.7 | 24.7 | 3.06 |
| 35 | SPS9-35 | 485.7 | 2.3 | 1 | 32.76 | 29.1 | 25.2 | 3.02 |
| 36 | SPS9-36 | 676.16 | 2.9 | 1 | 35.78 | 25.3 | 24.4 | 2.9 |
| 37 | SPS9-37 | 582.23 | 3 | 1 | 30.97 | 27 | 25.9 | 2.6 |
| 38 | SPS9-38 | 786.3 | 2.8 | 1 | 39.06 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 3.6 |
| 39 | SPS9-39 | 1187 | 2.7 | 2 | 31.59 | 27.3 | 25.8 | 2.9 |
| 40 | SPS9-40 | 629.52 | 2.6 | 2 | 36.73 | 23.6 | 24.1 | 3.5 |
| 41 | SPS9-41 | 1115 | 3 | 1 | 34.67 | 24.4 | 25.02 | 3.08 |
| 42 | SPS9-42 | 829 | 2.3 | 2 | 35.13 | 26.6 | 24.7 | 3.2 |
| 43 | SPS9-43 | 649 | 2.3 | 2 | 37.77 | 23.4 | 24.1 | 4.1 |
| 44 | SPS9-44 | 1273 | 2.7 | 2 | 36.85 | 25.7 | 24.7 | 3.5 |
| 45 | SPS9-45 | 881 | 2.9 | 2 | 33.39 | 28.5 | 26.8 | 2.8 |
| 46 | SPS9-46 | 829 | 3.1 | 2 | 34.05 | 26.2 | 25.2 | 2.7 |
| 47 | SPS9-47 | 1003 | 3.1 | 2 | 34.59 | 26.4 | 25.1 | 3.9 |
| 48 | Suraj | 1220 | 2.3 | | 36.42 | | | |
| | (check) | | | 3 | | | | |

Table 3. Evaluation of Jassid tolerant cultures (2016-17)

| | | | ICC m | | | | ICC mode | | | |
|--------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| Sr.No | Culture name | Boll wt(g) | Boll n0/pla nt) | Yield/ha(kg) | Jassid grade(score-1- 4) | GOT (%) | Seed index | Fibre Length (mm) | Fibre Strength (g/tex) | Micronaire |
| 1 | CNH-2053 | 3.5 | 30.0 | 3148 | 1 | 35.0 | 6.9 | | | |
| 2 | CNH-2054 | 3.3 | 37 | 1944 | 1 | 34.4 | 7.7 | | | |
| 3 | CNH-2049 | 3.1 | 28 | 2870 | 1 | 33.7 | 8.3 | 30.0 | 23.3 | 3.7 |
| 4 | CNH-2055 | 4.6 | 25 | 2037 | 1 | 35.8 | 7.7 | 27.0 | 20.5 | 3.4 |
| 5 | CNH-2056 | 4.2 | 40 | 2592 | 1 | 34.6 | 8.5 | 26.9 | 21.4 | 3.7 |
| 6 | CNH-2057 | 3.7 | 26 | 2592 | 1 | 35.0 | 7.0 | 26.2 | 22.3 | 3.8 |
| 7 | CNH-2058 | 4.4 | 31 | 2592 | 1 | 36.5 | 7.6 | 26.6 | 20.7 | 3.9 |
| 8 | CNH-2059 | 2.8 | 31 | 2222 | 1 | 32.6 | 8.5 | 28.9 | 23.9 | 3.1 |
| 9 | CNH-2060 | 3.1 | 28 | 2685 | 1 | 33.8 | 8.2 | 29.1 | 24.5 | 2.9 |
| 10 | CNH-2061 | 3.2 | 28 | 2500 | 1 | 33.6 | 7.6 | 28.6 | 23.2 | 2.4 |
| 11 | CNH-2062 | 4.2 | 33 | 2407 | 1 | 33.2 | 8.6 | 31.7 | 23.2 | 3.2 |
| 12 | CNH-2063 | 4.4 | 25 | 2870 | 1 | 35.8 | 9.6 | 27.5 | 22.7 | 3.6 |
| 13 | CNH-2064 | 3.2 | 29 | 2870 | 1 | 32.8 | 8.9 | 32.8 | 24.6 | 2.8 |
| 14 | CNH-2065 | 3.1 | 33 | 2500 | 1 | 34.1 | 8.4 | 26.3 | 21.6 | 3.4 |
| 15 | CNH-2066 | 3.2 | 38 | 2685 | 1 | 37.0 | 8.1 | 26.0 | 19.7 | 3.8 |
| 16 | CNH-2067 | 3.8 | 31 | 3055 | 1 | 32.8 | 9.3 | 29.2 | 22.3 | 3.5 |
| 17 | CNH-2068 | 3.4 | 39 | 2870 | 1 | 35.6 | 7.6 | 29.6 | 22.6 | 3.4 |
| 18 | CNH-2069 | 3.0 | 32 | 2685 | 1 | 35.2 | 7.9 | 28.7 | 21.5 | 3.5 |
| 19 | CNH-2052 | 3.3 | 32 | 2500 | 1 | 32.5 | 8.8 | 32.1 | 25.4 | 3.7 |
| 20 | Suraj | 4.4 | 31 | 2222 | 2 | 36.2 | 8.7 | 30.4 | 24.7 | 3.3 |
| 21 | NH-615 | 3.9 | 33 | 2222 | 1 | 33.7 | 9.5 | 30.6 | 22.4 | 4.1 |
| 22 | LRA-5166 | 4.9 | 26 | 2500 | 2 | 32.8 | 8.4 | | | |
| S.Ed. | | 238.25 | | | | | | | | |
| C.D.(0 | .05) | 700.82 | | | | | | | | |
| C.V. | | 13.36 | | | | | | | | |

Managing pest -predator complex

- Populations of lady bird beetles were seen to frequent cotton plants
- The crop was not sprayed for a decade for sucking pests since 2007
- Hence natural in-built resistance could be witnessed which aided in rigorous selections for tolerance.

Cultures identified for various traits with jassid tolerance

- Cultures identified for productivity viz., CNH 2053(3158 kg/ha) and CNH- 2067(3055 kg/ha)
- Cultures identified for boll wt viz., (CNH-2055(4.6 g) and CNH- 2063(4.4 g)
- Cultures identified for fibre quality viz., CNH-2064 (fibre length- 32.8 mm, fibre strength-24.6 g/tex(ICC mode) and CNH- 2052 (fibre length-32.1 mm and fibre strength- 25.7 g/tex)

Conclusions

- Cultures with tolerance to jassids with enhanced productivity has been developed
- Cultures with tolerance to jassids with superior fibre quality has been derived
- Tolerance cultures exhibited variation in productivity and fibre quality
- Tolerant cultures were both hairy and sparsely hairy

Acknowledgements

- I am grateful to Dr. C.D.Mayee, retd Chairman ASRB who helped initiate this project in 2002 when he was Director, CICR, Nagpur
- I am thankful for Dr. Phundan Singh who contributed to the planning and execution of this study
- I am to Dr.V.V. Singh, Retd. Head, DCI, CICR, Nagpur for the assured supply of parental and germplasm material
- I am also grateful to Dr. L.A.Deshpande who was critical in the assessment of segregating populations as Head, Division of Crop Improvement in 2007
- I am grateful to Dr. B.M. Khadi, then Director CICR, Nagpur in his critical suggestions and review of the plant material
- I am also grateful to Dr. P.K.Chakraborthy supporting conceptually the project
- I am very much thankful to Dr.K.R. Kranthi for his critical remarks, conceptual encouragement during his tenure till March,2017
- Lastly I am grateful to our present Director, Dr. V.N.Waghmare for his assistance in providing extension to the project and also visualizing the developed material in the field last year.

Thank You